IN THE SPECIAL COURT (TRIAL OF OFFENCES RELATING TO TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES) ACT, 1992

MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.1 OF 2022

Hiten P. Dalal ... Petitioner

versus

The Custodian & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Sunil Kale, for Petitioner.

Mr. Gandhar Raikar i/by Ms. Shilpa Bhate, for Respondent No.1.

Mr. B.M.Chatterjee, Senior Advocate with Ms. Kavita Singh, Mr. Udayan Mukherjee i/by Ranit Basu, for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: N.J.JAMADAR, J.

JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT

DATE: 16th **SEPTEMBER**, 2022

P.C.

- 1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
- 2. The learned Counsel for the Custodian seeks leave to tender an Affidavit in Reply. He submits that a copy of the Affidavit in Reply has already been served on the Counsel for the Petitioner.
- 3. Leave granted.
- 4. Affidavit in Reply is taken on record.
- 5. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks time to consider the Affidavit in Reply and file an Affidavit in Rejoinder, if any.
- 6. Let the Affidavit in Rejoinder, if any, be filed within a period of three

SSP 1/2

weeks and its copy served on the Respondents.

7. List on 14th October, 2022.

(N.J.JAMADAR, J.)

SSP 2/2