rrpillai

## IN THE SPECIAL COURT (TRIAL OF OFFENCES RELATING TO TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES) ACT, 1992 MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 4 OF 1996

The Custodian ... Petitioner

VS.

Mr. Suresh Shah & Ors. ... Respondent

Mr. J. Chandran a/w. i/b. M/s.Leena Adhvaryu & Associates for the Custodian.

Mr. Snehal Shah, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Rashid Khan, Mr. Aayaz Bilawala and Ms. Dhanashree Gaikwari i/b. M/s. Bilawala & Co. for Respondent no.1.i/b Divya Shah Associates for Respondent no. 1.

Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Senior Advocate i/b. Divya Shah & Associates for Respondent no. 4.

Ms. Radha Ved i/b. M/s. Kiran Jain & Co. for Respondent no. 2.

Mr. Dipen Furia i/b. M/s. Shah & Furia Associates for Respondent nos. 3A to 3E.

CORAM : A.K. MENON, J.

Judge, Special Court

DATE : 12<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2021

P.C. :

- 1. On 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 counsel for respondent no. 2 stated that respondent no. 2 did not intend to lead evidence but today she states that she has now received instructions to the contrary and that respondent no. 2 is desirous of leading evidence. She proposes to make an application in this behalf and seeks an adjournment today.
- 2. On behalf of respondent nos. 3(A) to 3(E) learned counsel reiterates that he has instructions that said respondents do not intend to lead any evidence.

- 3. Stand over to 19<sup>th</sup> March, 2021. No further adjournment will be granted.
- 4. It is made clear as it has been in the earlier occasion that costs will have to be imposed if any further time is sought.

(A.K. MENON, J.)